

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

÷.			Towns Programming	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/423,018	10/12/2000	Philip Gotwals	A018	6239
75	90 03/22/2002			
Biogen Inc			EXAMINER	
14 Cambridge Center Cambridge, MA 02142			ANDRES,	JANET L
Cambridge, Part			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

١.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			GOTWALS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	09/423,018 Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Office Action Summary	Janet L Andres	1646			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s				
Period	l for Reply					
A Th -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing partner of the North Property of the Month Property of t	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fina	al.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	osition of Claims					
4	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	on. from considera	tion			
İ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from considera	don.			
5	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6		Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.			
1 -	ication Papers	201				
9	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner. 	od to by the Examiner			
10	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	the drawing(s) he held	t in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to ) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approve	d b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
11	The proposed drawing correction filed on	reply to this Office act	ion.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		•			
1	2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  B) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	sian priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1:		sign priority under oc				
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anta haya heen rece	nived			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	eills have been reco	eve been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified or	opies not received.			
14	1) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 5)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applicat	ion has been received.			
- 1	chment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ) 5) (s) 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:			

Art Unit: 1646

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-7 and 10, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 8,9, and 11-13, drawn to polynucleotides and means of expression, classified in class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1, and 325, and class 536, subclass 23.5.
- III. Claims 14-21, drawn to methods of treatment, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The type II TGF-beta receptor is known in the art (e.g. WO 94/09815, provided by Applicant), as are immunoglobulin constant regions (e.g. Alberts et al., Molecular Biology of the Cell, 1989, pages 1013-1016), and thus they are not linking technical features.

The polypeptides of Invention I are not related to the polynucleotides of Invention II.

They differ structurally and functionally, cannot be used together or interchangeably, and have non-coextensive searches and considerations

Art Unit: 1646

The polypeptides of Invention I are distinct from the methods of Invention III. The methods may be practiced with other, unrelated molecules, such as antibodies.

The polynucleotides of Invention II are not related to the methods of Invention III. They cannot be used in or affected by these methods.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for the Groups are different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group III, methods of treatment, contains claims directed to the following species:

- a) arthritis
- b) diabetic nephropathy
- c) glomerulonephritis
- d) proliferative vitreoretinopathy
- e) myelofibrosis
- f) systemic sclerosis
- g) polymyositis
- h) sclerodoma

Art Unit: 1646

- i) dermatomyositis
- j) systemic lupus erythematosis

If Group III is elected, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: These are different diseases with different characteristics and a different status in the art. Successful treatment of one would not render successful treatment of another obvious..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Art Unit: 1646

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. March 11, 2002

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600